

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2005

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/678,611 | 10/04/2000 | Kohji Sakai | 198004US2 | 7156 | |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | PHAM, HAI CHI | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 2861 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 09/678,611 | SAKAI ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Hai C. Pham | 2861 | |

| | Hai C. Pham | 2861 | | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>19 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | g date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN | | | | | | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be | filed within two month | ns of the date of | | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | e appeal. Since | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | to the state of th | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co | | | ecause | | | | |
| (a) They raise the issues that would require further co | | i ⊏ below), | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be | | ducina or simplifyina | the issues for | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | not to the appear by materially to | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: The proposed Amendment changes the se | | | previously | | | | |
| recited in claim 10, now canceled, and by further (1) narrowing the scope of the required conditions for the lateral magnification, and (2) defining the specific curvature of the lens surfaces being formed on different lenses so as to overcome the prior art in Yamawaki et al. (U.S. 6,046,835). The amendment to claim 1 thus raises new issues that would require further consideration and search. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | • | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attac | hed. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | Harchier | am | | | | | |
| | Harchift HAI PHA PRIMARY EX | AM AMINER | | | | | |
| | 7/27 | 05 | | | | | |